COMPARING FY 2026 LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS BILLS

David Ditch, Senior Analyst in Fiscal Policy November 3, 2025

The largest domestic policy appropriations package is the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education (LHHSED) bill. These agencies, none of which existed for most of America's history, are rife with wasteful and contentious spending.

For <u>Fiscal Year (FY) 2026</u>, the <u>House bill</u> would spend \$184.5 billion and the <u>Senate bill</u> would spend \$197 billion, a difference of \$13.7 billion or 6.8%.

As Congress moves forward with full year appropriations, legislators should consider not just the package by itself but also how best to handle specific accounts. The \$13.7 billion spending difference between the House and Senate is caused by divergent policy choices. If Congress intends to spend a 12-figure sum, it should do so with deliberation.

This post compares funding for selected accounts in the FY 2026 LHHSED appropriations bills proposed by the House and Senate alongside FY 2025 levels and the <u>President's Budget</u>.

Labor Department, CNCS

Selected FY 2026 Labor and Related Appropriations Accounts					
	FY 2025	House	Senate	President	
Training and Employment	3,819	2,594	3,977	2,966	
Job Corps	1,760	880	1,760	176	
Community Service Employment	405	0	395	0	
Labor Departmental Management	388	230	364	301	
Corp. for National and Community Service	976	525	976	32	

The Department of Labor's discretionary budget focuses on programs claimed to promote employment, including job training and the Job Corps. Yet the historical track record has been lackluster, with programs having higher economic costs than benefits.

The Senate LHHSED bill would slightly increase this spending, while the House would reduce it. The <u>President's Budget</u> calls out the underperformance of these programs, seeking both an overhaul in the policy area and a reduction in spending.

The Community Service Employment for Older Americans program (CSE, part of Labor) and the Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS, an independent agency) are promoted as ways to provide fulfillment for participants while helping neighborhoods. This is not an appropriate role for the federal government, and these initiatives have failed to produce commensurate results with billions of dollars in funding. The President's Budget calls for ending both initiatives. The House bill would eliminate CSE and reduce CNCS. The Senate bill maintains CNCS funding and barely reduces CSE.

Prior to the government shutdown, the Department of Labor's <u>contingency plan</u> deemed 9,775 employees (76%) as non-essential.

Health & Human Services

Selected FY 2026 Health & Human Services Appropriations Accounts					
	FY 2025	House	Senate	President	
Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program	2,611	2,046	2,575	*	
Family Planning	286	0	286	0	
HSRA-Wide Activities	1,110	223	1,078	0	
CDC STD & TB Prevention	1,391	353	1,381	300	
CDC Chronic Disease Prevention	1,193	743	1,088	0	
CDC Injury Prevention	761	665	761	0	
CDC Global Health	693	473	693	0	
Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality	345	0	345	0	
Refugee & Entrant Assistance	6,327	4,293	5,691	4,293	
Children & Families Services	14,789	14,480	14,900	13,658	
Operations, Preparedness & Emergency Response	500	245	480	n/a	
Office of HHS Secretary	646	333	497	320	
*The President's Budget creates a new consolidated HIV/AIDS program.					

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) contains 15 bureaus and oversees at least 433 <u>programs</u>. It is riddled with waste, duplication, and handouts to <u>state and local governments</u>. Despite this, its budget has surged decade after decade.

The <u>President's Budget</u> calls for a dramatic reorganization of HHS, eliminating many bureaus and programs while consolidating functions into a new Administration for a Healthy America. On net this would entail a meaningful reduction in appropriations. While the House bill is not positioned to overhaul HHS (being appropriations rather than authorizations), it generally moves in the same direction by eliminating or significantly reducing many bureaus and programs. The Senate bill only makes modest reductions.



Both the House bill and the President's Budget would reduce spending on HIV/AIDS programs. Legislators who are wary of such a move should understand that spending on HIV/AIDS is high despite sustained <u>progress</u> in reducing death and infection rates. Further, the drivers of infection are behavioral choices that have been established for decades. Bringing HIV/AIDS funding in line with other diseases is appropriate.

The Title X Family Planning program has at times subsidized <u>abortion providers</u>, and it provides contraceptive services that violate the conscience of millions. Both the House bill and the President's Budget eliminate it while the Senate bill retains funding.

The Senate bill increases funding for Health Resources and Services Administration solely to facilitate earmarks, some of which go to facilities that perform <u>abortions and pediatric gender transitions</u>.

Refugee and Entrant Assistance funding exploded in FY 2022 due the Biden Administration's <u>deliberate failure</u> to control the border or enforce immigration law. While the Trump Administration has prioritized <u>border security</u> and immigration enforcement, there are still many refugee status claimants and unaccompanied children. The President's Budget would focus this account on children. The House bill matches the President's funding, while the Senate reduces the account by roughly 10%.

Prior to the government shutdown, the HHS <u>contingency plan</u> deemed 32,460 employees (41%) as non-essential.

The House and Senate LHHSED bills preserve <u>Hyde Amendment</u> protections against taxpayer funding of abortions. This is not just a win for conservative values, but also for pluralism and basic human decency.

Education

Selected FY 2026 Education Appropriations Accounts					
	FY 2025	House	Senate	President	
Education for the Disadvantaged	19,108	14,850	19,158	18,406	
School Improvement Programs	5,776	4,841	5,781	319	
Innovation & Improvement	1,115	891	1,184	500	
Career, Technical & Adult Education	2,181	1,475	2,181	1,450	
Student Financial Assistance	24,615	23,254	24,615	22,725	
Higher Education	3,081	3,268	2,714	950	
Institute of Education Sciences	793	793	740	261	
Departmental Management	420	293	380	293	



The Department of Education has been an <u>abject failure</u>. Despite more than \$3 trillion in total outlays since 1980, America's K-12 schools have not made progress in teaching fundamentals and higher education costs have exploded in the name of "affordability."

President Trump seeks to <u>close the agency</u>, though the <u>President's Budget</u> retains most of its programs and bureaus while reducing spending. The House bill also reduces most key accounts, while the Senate bill generally retains the wasteful status quo.

The Senate bill also contains dubious education earmarks, such as one for the <u>Jacob's Pillow Dance Festival</u> in Massachusetts.

Prior to the government shutdown, the Department of Education's <u>contingency plan</u> deemed 2,117 employees (87%) as non-essential. In other words, the federal department is <u>not necessary</u> for the continuing education of America's children.

CFPB Remains Defunded

Neither of the LHHSED bills contain funding for the <u>Corporation for Public Broadcasting</u> (CFPB), which has been a funding source for the Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) and National Public Radio (NPR). This summer's <u>rescissions</u> package defunded the CFPB. Assuming that FY 2026 appropriations pass without adding CFPB funding, PBS and NPR will learn to fully rely on funding sources such as <u>private donations</u>.

Stand for Civil Society and Fiscal Sanity

Legislators who seek increases to LHHSED appropriations often rely on blunt demagoguery, casting conservatives as heartless for opposing limitless spending on healthcare, welfare, and education. Such hectoring misses the mark.

Besides the fact that the federal government is not in a <u>financial position</u> to be responsible for addressing every want and need in these areas, it is also not the proper agent for action. Civil society groups such as religious institutions and charities are better positioned to help those in need, along with private networks of friends, family, and communities.

The federal government's "value" is cutting deficit financed checks rather than providing solutions to social and individual problems. Congress should recognize that big government is not a solution, which includes ending its habit of sending good money after bad in the appropriations process.

